# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,315	08/10/2001	Takashi Hiraga	110345	8495
25944	7590 04/11/2003			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1 ALEXAND	28 A, VA 22320		GRAY, JILL M	
٠		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
••	09/913,315	HIRAGA ET AL.				
Öffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jill M Gray	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	guale of this communication, even it timely hea	, may 100000 any				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application	1					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	· ·					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1774

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 3-10, 13-17, 19-22, 24-29 and 40-45, drawn to a modification method, a modification apparatus and molded resin article.

Group II, claim(s) 1, 3-10, 13-17, 19-22, 24-25, and 30-32, drawn to a modification method, a modification apparatus and lens.

Group III, claim(s) 1, 3-10, 13-17, 19-22, 24-25, and 34-35, drawn to a modification method, a modification apparatus and a film.

Group IV, claim(s) 1, 3-10, 13-17, 19-22, 24-25, and 36-37, drawn to a modification method, a modification apparatus and a fiber.

Group V, claim(s) 1, 3-10, 13-17, 19-22, 24-25, and 38-39, drawn to a modification method, a modification apparatus and an optical fiber.

Group VI, claim(s) 2, 11-12, 18, and 23, drawn to a modification method having a first closed space and a second closed space and a modification apparatus.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: there is no unity of invention between I and VI because I lacks the special technical feature of VI which includes a first closed space and a second closed space. There is no unity of invention in Groups I-V because the products of each category of invention (e.g. molded article, film, lens, fiber and optical fiber) are unrelated and have not special technical relationship.
- 3. If Group I is elected:

Art Unit: 1774

4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Claims 40-41 - molded article with dyestuff;

Claim 42 - molded article with organic metal compound;

Claim 43 – molded article with antibacterial or antifungal agent;

Claim 44 - molded article with medicinal activity organic compound; and

Claim 45 – molded article with organic compound with physiological

activity.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1774

5. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

- 1. Claims 1 and 40-41.
- 2. Claims 1 and 42.
- 3. Claims 1 and 43.
- 4. Claims 1 and 44.
- 5. Claims 1 and 45.

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

- 6. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species are not art recognized equivalents.
- 7. A telephone call was not made to applicants' representative to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the complexity of the requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M Gray whose telephone number is 703.308.2381. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-7:00.

Art Unit: 1774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 703.308.0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.5408 for regular communications and 703.305.3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0651.

সাঁIপ**গ** ঔ/ay Examiner Art Unit 1774

jmg April 9, 2003